

Regularity of the extremal solution in a MEMS model with advection

CRAIG COWAN* NASSIF GHOUSSEB†

October 7, 2008

Abstract

We consider the regularity of the extremal solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$(S)_\lambda \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + c(x) \cdot \nabla u &= \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $c(x)$ is a smooth bounded vector field on $\bar{\Omega}$. We show that, just like in the advection-free model ($c \equiv 0$), all semi-stable solutions are smooth if (and only if) the dimension $N \leq 7$. The novelty here comes from the lack of a suitable variational characterization for the semi-stability assumption. We overcome this difficulty by using a general version of Hardy's inequality. In a forthcoming paper [5], we indicate how this method applies to many other nonlinear eigenvalue problems involving advection (including the Gelfand problem), showing that they all essentially have the same critical dimension as their advection-free counterparts.

1 Introduction

The following equation has often been used to model a simple *Micro-Electro-Mechanical System* (MEMS) device:

$$(P)_\lambda \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u &= \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\lambda > 0$ is proportional to the applied voltage and $0 < u(x) < 1$ denotes the deflection of the membrane.

*Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6T 1Z2. E-mail: cowan@math.ubc.ca.

†Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6T 1Z2. E-mail: nassif@math.ubc.ca. Research partially supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

This model has been extensively studied, see [10], [11] in regards to the model and [7], [6], [8] for mathematical aspects of $(P)_\lambda$. It is well known (see above references) that there exists some positive finite critical parameter λ^* such that for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, the equation $(P)_\lambda$ has a smooth minimal stable (see below) solution u_λ , while for $\lambda > \lambda^*$ there are no weak solutions of $(P)_\lambda$ (see [7] for a precise definition of weak solution). Standard elliptic regularity theory yields that a solution u of $(P)_\lambda$ is smooth if and only if $\sup_\Omega u < 1$. One can also show that $\lambda \mapsto u_\lambda(x)$ is increasing and hence one can define the extremal solution

$$u^*(x) := \lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda(x),$$

which can be shown to be a weak solution of $(P)_{\lambda^*}$.

Recall that a smooth solution u of $(P)_\lambda$ is said to be *minimal* if any other solution v of $(P)_\lambda$ satisfies $u \leq v$ a.e. in Ω . Such solutions are then *semi-stable* meaning that the principal eigenvalue of the linearized operator

$$L_{u,\lambda} := -\Delta - \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u)^3}$$

in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is nonnegative. This property can be expressed variationally by the inequality

$$2\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\psi^2}{(1-u)^3} \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi|^2, \quad \forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1)$$

which can be viewed as the nonnegativeness of the second variation of the energy functional associated with $(P)_\lambda$ at u .

Now a question of interest is whether u^* is a smooth solution of $(P)_{\lambda^*}$. It is shown in [7] that this is indeed the case provided $N \leq 7$. This result is optimal in the sense that u^* is singular in dimension $N \geq 8$ with Ω taken to be the unit ball.

Our main interest here will be in the regularity of the extremal solution associated with

$$(S)_\lambda \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + c(x) \cdot \nabla u &= \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $c \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and where again Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Modifying the proofs used in analyzing $(P)_\lambda$ one can again show the existence of a positive finite critical parameter λ^* such that for $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ there exists a smooth minimal solution u_λ of $(S)_\lambda$, while there are no smooth solutions of $(S)_\lambda$ for $\lambda > \lambda^*$. Moreover, the minimal solutions are also *semi-stable* in the sense that the principal eigenvalue of the corresponding linearized operator

$$L_{u,\lambda,c} := -\Delta + c(x) \cdot \nabla - \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u_\lambda)^3}$$

in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is non-negative. See [3] where these results are proved for general C^1 convex nonlinearities which are superlinear at ∞ . Our main result concerns the regularity of the extremal solution of $(S)_\lambda$.

Theorem 1. *If $1 \leq N \leq 7$, then the extremal solution u^* of $(S)_{\lambda^*}$ is smooth.*

Remark 1. A crucial (in fact the main) ingredient in proving the regularity of u^* in $(P)_\lambda$, is the energy inequality (1) which is used in conjunction with the equation $(P)_\lambda$, to obtain uniform (in λ) L^p -estimates on $(1 - u_\lambda)^{-2}$ whenever u_λ is the minimal solution (See [7]). However, the semi-stability of u_λ in the case of $(S)_\lambda$, does not translate into an *energy inequality* which allows the use of arbitrary test functions. Overcoming this will be the major hurdle in proving Theorem 1.

We point out, however, that if $c(x) = \nabla \gamma$ for some smooth function γ on $\bar{\Omega}$, then the semi-stability condition on the minimal solution u_λ of $(S)_\lambda$ translates into

$$2\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{-\gamma} \psi^2}{(1 - u_\lambda)^3} \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{-\gamma} |\nabla \psi|^2, \quad \forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (2)$$

Then, with slight modifications, one can use the standard approach for $(P)_\lambda$ to obtain the analogous result for $(S)_\lambda$ stated in Theorem 1.

The novel case is therefore when c is a divergence free vector field. Actually, we shall use the following version of the Hodge decomposition, in order to deal with general vector fields c .

Lemma 1. *Any vector field $c \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ can be decomposed as $c(x) = -\nabla \gamma + a(x)$ where γ is a smooth scalar function and $a(x)$ is a smooth bounded vector field such that $\operatorname{div}(e^\gamma a) = 0$.*

Proof. By the Krein-Rutman theory, the linear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \alpha + \operatorname{div}(a\alpha) &= \mu \alpha & \Omega, \\ (\nabla \alpha + a\alpha) \cdot n &= 0 & \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where n is the unit outer normal on $\partial\Omega$, has a positive solution α in Ω when μ is the principal eigenvalue. Integrating the equation over Ω , one sees that $\mu = 0$. The positivity of α on the boundary follows from the boundary condition and the maximum principle. In other words, we have that $\Delta \alpha + \operatorname{div}(a\alpha) = 0$ on Ω , and $\alpha > 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Now define $\gamma := \log(\alpha)$ and $a := c + \nabla \gamma$. An easy computation shows that $\operatorname{div}(e^\gamma a) = 0$. $\square$

Throughout the rest of this note c, a, γ will be defined as above.

2 A general Hardy inequality and non-selfadjoint eigenvalue problems

Consider the linear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi + c \cdot \nabla \phi - \rho \phi &= K \phi & \Omega, \\ \phi &= 0 & \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where c is a smooth bounded vector field on Ω , $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and K is a scalar. We assume that (ϕ, K) is the principal eigenpair for (4) and that $\phi > 0$ in Ω , and $K \geq 0$. Note that elliptic regularity theory shows that ϕ is then smooth.

We shall now use a general Hardy inequality to make up for the lack of a variational characterization for the pair (ϕ, K) . The following result is taken from [4], which we duplicate here for the convenience of the reader. For a complete discussion on general Hardy inequalities including best constants, attainability and improvements of, see [4]. We should point out that this approach to Hardy inequalities is not new, but it is generally restricted to specific functions E which yield known versions of Hardy inequalities; see [1] and reference within.

Lemma 2. *Let $A(x)$ denote a uniformly positive definite $N \times N$ matrix with smooth coefficients defined on Ω . Suppose E is a smooth positive function on Ω and fix a constant β with $1 \leq \beta \leq 2$. Then, for all $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|_A^2 \geq \frac{\beta(2-\beta)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla E|_A^2}{E^2} \psi^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla E)}{E} \psi^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|_A^2 = \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \psi$.

Proof. For simplicity we prove the case where $A(x)$ is given by the identity matrix. For the general case, we refer to [4]. Let E_0 denote a smooth positive function defined in Ω and let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Set $v := \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{E_0}}$. Then

$$|\nabla \psi|^2 = E_0 |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{|\nabla E_0|^2}{4E_0^2} \psi^2 + v \nabla v \cdot \nabla E_0. \quad (6)$$

Integrating the last term by parts gives

$$\int_{\Omega} v \nabla v \cdot \nabla E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{-\Delta E_0}{E_0} \psi^2$$

and so integrating (6) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla E_0|^2}{E_0^2} \psi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{-\Delta E_0}{E_0} \psi^2, \quad (7)$$

where we dropped a nonnegative term. So we have the desired result for $\beta = 1$. When $\beta \neq 1$ one puts $E_0 := E^\beta$ into (7) and collects like terms to obtain the desired result. $\square$

We now use the above lemma to obtain an energy inequality valid for the principal eigenpair of (4).

Theorem 2. *Suppose that the principal eigenpair (ϕ, K) of (4) are such that $\phi > 0$ and $K \geq 0$. Then, for $1 \leq \beta \leq 2$ we have for all $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} e^\gamma |\nabla \psi|^2 \geq \frac{\beta(2-\beta)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma |\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi^2} \psi^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^\gamma \rho(x) \psi^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma a \cdot \nabla \phi}{\phi} \psi^2. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Note that (4) can be rewritten as

$$-\operatorname{div}(e^\gamma \nabla \phi) + e^\gamma a \cdot \nabla \phi = e^\gamma (\rho(x) + K) \phi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where as mentioned above we are using the decomposition $c = -\nabla \gamma + a$. We now set $E := \phi$ and $A(x) = e^\gamma I$ (where I is the identity matrix) and use (5) along with the above equation to obtain the desired result. Note that we have dropped the nonnegative term involving K . $\square$

3 Proof of theorem 1

For $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, we denote by u_λ the smooth minimal semi-stable solution of $(S)_\lambda$. Let (ϕ, K) denote the principal eigenpair associated with the linearization of $(S)_\lambda$ at u_λ . Then $0 < \phi$ in Ω , $0 \leq K$ and (ϕ, K) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi + c \cdot \nabla \phi &= (\frac{2\lambda}{(1-u_\lambda)^3} + K)\phi & \Omega, \\ \phi &= 0 & \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Again, elliptic regularity theory shows that ϕ is smooth. Consider $c = -\nabla \gamma + a$ to be the decomposition of c described in Lemma 1. We now obtain the main estimate.

Theorem 3. *For $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, $1 < \beta < 2$ and $0 < t < \beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + \beta}$, we have the following estimate:*

$$\lambda \left(\beta - \frac{t^2}{2t+1} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma}{(1-u_\lambda)^{2t+3}} \leq 2\beta\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma}{(1-u_\lambda)^{t+3}} + \frac{\beta \|a\|_{L^\infty}^2}{4(2-\beta)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma}{(1-u_\lambda)^{2t}}.$$

Proof. Fix $0 < \beta < 2$, let $0 < t$ and u denote the minimal solution associated with $(S)_\lambda$. We shall use Theorem 2 with $\rho(x) = \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u_\lambda)^3}$. Put $\psi := \frac{1}{(1-u)^t} - 1$ into (8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma |\nabla u|^2}{(1-u)^{2t+2}} &\geq \beta\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma}{(1-u)^3} \left(\frac{1}{(1-u)^t} - 1 \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^\gamma \left(\frac{(2-\beta)}{2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi^2} - \frac{a \cdot \nabla \phi}{\phi} \right) \psi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now note that $(S)_\lambda$ can be rewritten as

$$-\operatorname{div}(e^\gamma \nabla u) + e^\gamma a \cdot \nabla u = \frac{\lambda e^\gamma}{(1-u)^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and test this on $\bar{\phi} := \frac{1}{(1-u)^{2t+1}} - 1$ to obtain

$$(2t+1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma |\nabla u|^2}{(1-u)^{2t+2}} + H = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^\gamma}{(1-u)^2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-u)^{2t+1}} - 1 \right),$$

where

$$H := \int_{\Omega} e^{\gamma} a \cdot \nabla u \left(\frac{1}{(1-u)^{2t+1}} - 1 \right).$$

One easily sees that $H = 0$ after considering the fact H can be rewritten in the form $\int_{\Omega} (e^{\gamma} a) \cdot \nabla G(u)$ for an appropriately chosen function G with $G(0) = 0$. Combining the above two inequalities and dropping some positive terms gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \left(\beta - \frac{t^2}{2t+1} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\gamma}}{(1-u)^{2t+3}} &\leq 2\beta\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\gamma}}{(1-u)^{3+t}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\gamma} \Lambda(x) \left(\frac{1}{(1-u)^t} - 1 \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda(x) := \frac{a \cdot \nabla \phi}{\phi} - \frac{(2-\beta)}{2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi^2}.$$

Simple calculus shows that

$$\sup_{\Omega} \Lambda(x) \leq \frac{\|a\|_{L^{\infty}}^2}{2(2-\beta)},$$

which, after substituting into the above inequality, completes the proof of the main estimate. $\square$

Note now that the restriction $t < \beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + \beta}$ is needed to ensure that the coefficient $\beta - \frac{t^2}{2t+1}$ is positive. It follows then that $\frac{1}{(1-u^*)^2}$ is uniformly bounded (in λ) in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p < p_0 := \frac{7}{2} + \sqrt{6} \approx 5.94\dots$ and after passing to limits we have the same result for the extremal solution u^* .

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to note the following result.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $3 \leq N \leq 7$ and the extremal solution u^* satisfies $\frac{1}{(1-u^*)^2} \in L^{\frac{3N}{4}}(\Omega)$, then u^* is smooth.*

Proof. First note that by elliptic regularity one has $u^* \in W^{2, \frac{3N}{4}}(\Omega)$ and after applying the Sobolev embedding theorem one has $u^* \in C^{0, \frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\Omega})$. Now suppose $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} = 1$ so that there is some $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_0) = 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{1-u(x)} \geq \frac{C}{|x-x_0|^{\frac{2}{3}}}$$

and hence

$$\infty > \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{((1-u^*)^2)^{\frac{3N}{4}}} \geq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^N} = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that $\frac{1}{(1-u^*)^2} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and u^* is therefore smooth. $\square$

Using this lemma and the above L^p -bound on $\frac{1}{(1-u^*)^2}$, one sees that u^* is smooth for $3 \leq N \leq 7$. To show the result in dimensions $N = 1, 2$, one needs a slight variation of the above argument. We omit the details, and the interested reader can consult [7] for the proof when $c(x) = 0$.

Remark 2. As mentioned in the abstract, this method applies to most non-selfadjoint eigenvalue problems of the form

$$(S)_\lambda \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + c(x) \cdot \nabla u &= \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f(u)$ is an appropriate convex nonlinearity such as $f(u) = e^u$, and $f(u) = (1+u)^p$. It shows in particular that the presence of an advection does not change the critical dimension of the problem, hence addressing an issue raised recently by Berestycki et al [3]. One can also extend the general regularity results of Nedev [9] (for general convex f in dimensions 2 and 3) and those of Cabre and Capella [2] (for general radially symmetric f on a ball, and up to dimension 9). All these questions are the subject of a forthcoming paper [5].

References

- [1] Adimurthi and Anusha Sekar, *Role of the fundamental solution in Hardy-Sobolev-type inequalities*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 136A, 1111-1130, 2006
- [2] X. Cabré and A. Capella, *Regularity of radial minimizers and extremal solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **238** (2006), no. 2, 709–733.
- [3] H. Berestycki, A. Kiselev, A. Novikov and L. Ryzhik, *The explosion problem in a flow*, preprint (2008).
- [4] C. Cowan, *Optimal Hardy inequalities for general elliptic operators with improvements*, (preprint).
- [5] C. Cowan, N. Ghoussoub, *Regularity and stability of solutions in nonlinear eigenvalue problems with advection*, (In preparation) (2008).
- [6] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *Compactness along the branch of semi-stable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **60** (2007), 1731–1768.
- [7] N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *On the partial differential equations of electro MEMS devices: stationary case*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38 (2007), 1423-1449.
- [8] Y. Guo, Z. Pan and M.J. Ward, *Touchdown and pull-in voltage behavior of a mems device with varying dielectric properties*, SIAM J. Appl. Math 66 (2005), 309-338.

- [9] G. Nedev, *Regularity of the extremal solution of semilinear elliptic equations*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **330** (2000), 997–1002.
- [10] J.A. Pelesko, *Mathematical modeling of electrostatic mems with tailored dielectric properties*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 62 (2002), 888-908.
- [11] J.A. Pelesko and A.A. Bernstein, *Modeling MEMS and NEMS*, Chapman Hall and CRC Press, 2002.